

Detector for a massless $(1+1)$ field: Hawking effect without infrared sickness

Benito Juárez-Aubry[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Nottingham

3 April 2013



The University of
Nottingham



Outline

Introduction

Derivative coupling with smooth switching

Star collapse and black holes

Motivation

What do we want to do?

We'd like to examine thermality in time-dependent situations. In particular, what does one feel as one falls into a BH? Under which circumstance can we talk about thermality?

Why $1+1$ spacetimes?

- Great simplification (e.g. no backscattering in Schwarzschild geometry).
- Qualitatively similar.

What is a particle detector?

(3+1) Unruh-DeWitt detector

Two-level system coupled to a scalar field. Heuristically, can think of an **atom** interacting with a scalar field by **absorbing or emitting field quanta**.

The Hamiltonian is $H = H_D + H_\phi + H_{\text{int}}$, where

$$H_{\text{int}} = c\mu(x(\tau))\phi(x(\tau)),$$

where c is some coupling constant, $\mu(\tau)$ is the monopole moment of the detector, $\phi(\tau)$ is a scalar field, and τ the detector's proper time. For uniformly accelerated trajectory \Rightarrow Unruh effect, i.e.,

$$\dot{F}(\omega) = \omega \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\omega/a} - 1} \leftarrow \text{Thermal spectrum}$$

Unruh effect




Fig 1. Detector following a Rindler trajectory feels bath of particles at temperature $T = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi c k}$.

The Unruh-DeWitt detector in 1+1

- Transition probability = (Detector internal properties) \times (Response function), i.e. $P(\omega) \propto F(\omega)$.
- Transition rate, $F/\Delta\tau$, goes like Fourier transform of the so-called **Wightman function**:

$$F/\Delta\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau' e^{-i\omega(\tau-\tau')} W(\tau, \tau'),$$

where $W(\tau, \tau') \equiv \langle 0|\phi(\tau)\phi(\tau')|0\rangle$.

- But Hadamard $W(\tau, \tau')$ only exists for massive fields in 1+1 dimensions!

The derivative coupling detector

- Infrared divergence for massless fields $\sim \log(m)!$
- Is the Unruh-DeWitt detector natural in 1+1 dimensions?
- Introduce a derivative coupling detector.
- Suggested by Don Marolf and first mentioned in the literature at least in (Davies and Ottewill, 2002).

The derivative coupling detector

Consider

$$H_{\text{int}} = c\chi(\tau)\mu(\tau)\dot{x}^a\partial_a\phi(x(\tau)).$$

where $\chi(\tau)$ is a smooth switching function of compact support controlling the interaction time. Then

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau' \chi(\tau)\chi(\tau')e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}A(\tau, \tau'),$$

where $A(\tau, \tau') \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{d}{d\tau'} W(\tau, \tau')$ is finite for a massless 1+1 scalar field.

Smooth switching and sharp switching limit

$$\chi(u) = h_1\left(\frac{u - \tau_0 + \delta}{\delta}\right) \times h_2\left(\frac{-u + \tau + \delta}{\delta}\right),$$

with $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ smooth non-negative functions that satisfy $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $h_1 = h_2 = 1$ for $x > 1$.




Fig 2. Interaction gets switched on (off) during a very small time interval δ at time $\tau_0 - \delta$ (τ).

- Switching function allows for time-dependent measurements.
- It also allows to rid of regulator ($i\epsilon$) (Satz, 2007).

Smooth switching and sharp switching limit

Formally, as $\delta/(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow 0$, a general formula, *independent* of the details of the switching, may be obtained for the transition rate:

$$\dot{F}(\omega) \equiv dF/d\tau = 2 \int_0^\infty ds \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{-i\omega s} A(\tau, \tau - s) + \frac{1}{2\pi s^2} \right] - \frac{1}{2}\omega.$$

Derivative coupling detector tests

- Thermality: Detector feels the Unruh effect along the Rindler trajectory.
- Limit $m \rightarrow 0$ commutes with integral in transition rate formula for massive detectors \Rightarrow can talk about **massless** fields!

Star collapse model: receding mirror

A spacetime in which the **boundary moves** following the trajectory $v(u) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \log(1 + e^{-\kappa u})$ (lightcone coords.) emulates the spacetime of a collapsing star. Consider an inertial detector:




Fig 3. Inertial detector in a Receding mirror spacetime.

Receding mirror: The Wightman function

For the field quantisation, the dynamical boundary dictate a field expansion as

$$\phi(u, v)_{MHS} = \int dk \left(a u_k MHS + a^\dagger u_k^\dagger MHS \right),$$

where

$$u_k = i(4\pi\omega)^{-1/2} \left[e^{-i\omega v} - e^{-i\omega p(u)} \right],$$

and $p(u)$ is obtained by demanding that the field vanish at the mirror's trajectory. This leads to $p(u) = -\log(1 + e^{-\kappa u})/\kappa$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} W_\epsilon(x, x') &= \langle 0 | \phi(x) \phi(x') | 0 \rangle \\ &= -(1/4\pi) \log[(p(u) - p(u') - i\epsilon)(v - v' - i\epsilon)] \\ &\quad + (1/4\pi) \log[(v - p(u') - i\epsilon)(p(u) - v' - i\epsilon)]. \end{aligned}$$

Receding mirror

- Cannot be solved analytically in full generality. Can consider asymptotic limits.
- Early time measurements ($\tau \rightarrow -\infty$).
- Late time measurements ($\tau \rightarrow +\infty$).

Receding mirror: Asymptotics

A boosted detector follows the trajectory $x^a = \gamma(\tau, -\beta\tau)$, where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$.

In the asymptotic past the transition rate is dominantly

$$\dot{F}(\omega)_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} = -\omega \left[1 - \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \cos\left(\frac{2\beta\tau}{1-\beta}\omega\right) \right] \Theta(-\omega). \quad (1)$$

In the asymptotic future the leading contribution is

$$\dot{F}(\omega)_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} = -\frac{\omega}{2} \Theta(-\omega) + \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{1}{\exp\left[\frac{2\pi\omega}{\kappa} \frac{\sqrt{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{1+\beta}}\right] - 1}. \quad (2)$$

The 1+1 Schwarzschild BH

1+1 Metric:

$$ds^2 = (1 - 2M/r)dudv = [2M \exp(-r/2M)/r]d\bar{u}d\bar{v}$$

Field quantisation is not unique, then vacuum state is not unique!

Three natural vacua:

Vacuum	Wightman function
$ 0_B\rangle$ (Boulware)	$W_B(x, x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log[(\Delta u - i\epsilon)(\Delta v - i\epsilon)]$
$ 0_H\rangle$ (Hartle-Hawking)	$W_H(x, x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log[(\Delta \bar{u} - i\epsilon)(\Delta \bar{v} - i\epsilon)]$
$ 0_U\rangle$ (Unruh)	$W_U(x, x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log[(\Delta \bar{u} - i\epsilon)(\Delta v - i\epsilon)]$

The 1+1 Schwarzschild BH: Static detector

Consider a detector at fixed $r = R$.

Vacuum	Transition rate, $\dot{F}(\omega)$
$ 0_B\rangle$ (Boulware)	$-\omega\Theta(-\omega)$
$ 0_H\rangle$ (Hartle-Hawking)	$\omega \frac{1}{\exp[8\pi M\omega\sqrt{1-2M/R}]-1}$
$ 0_U\rangle$ (Unruh)	$-\frac{\omega}{2}\Theta(-\omega) + \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{1}{\exp[8\pi M\omega\sqrt{1-2M/R}]-1}$

Thermality appears with $T = \kappa(1 - 2M/R)^{-1/2}/2\pi k_B$, where $\kappa = 1/4M$ is the surface gravity of the Black hole.

The 1+1 Schwarzschild BH: Asymptotics

Consider an infalling detector following a timelike geodesics

- Cannot be solved analytically in full generality. Can consider asymptotic limits.
- Early time measurements ($\tau \rightarrow -\infty$).
- Can be done for freely falling detector or boosted detector in the past timelike infinity.

The 1+1 Schwarzschild BH: Conformal diagram




Fig 4. Infalling detector along a geodesic.

The 1+1 Schwarzschild BH: Asymptotics

Consider a detector falling with initial velocity β at $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$. A measurement in the asymptotic past yields:

Vacuum	Transition rate, $\dot{F}(\omega)_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty}$
$ 0_B\rangle$ (Boulware)	$-\omega\Theta(-\omega)$
$ 0_H\rangle$ (Hartle-Hawking)	$\frac{\omega/2}{\exp\left[2\pi\omega/\sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}}\frac{1}{4M}\right]-1} + \frac{\omega/2}{\exp\left[2\pi\omega/\sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}}\frac{1}{4M}\right]-1}$
$ 0_U\rangle$ (Unruh)	$-\frac{\omega}{2}\Theta(-\omega) + \frac{\omega}{2}\frac{1}{\exp\left[2\pi\omega/\sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}}\frac{1}{4M}\right]-1}$

Thermality appears with a blue(red)shifted factor in the temperature, $T = \sqrt{\frac{1\pm\beta}{1\mp\beta}}\frac{1}{4M}/2\pi k_B$.

Numerics: Hartle-Hawking

Transition rate in Hartle-Hawking vacuum
for $M\omega = 1/4\pi$




Fig 5. Asymptotic behaviour of in the Hartle-Hawking vacuum.

Numerics: Unruh




Fig 6. ω -dependence in the Unruh vacuum.






Current work

What are we doing now?





- One can no longer talk about a thermal spectrum for an infalling detector in the inside region! (Tolman factor and see plots)
- **Disagreement** with a **thermal** spectrum (weighted with appropriate blue(red) shifts and Tolman factors) along an infalling geodesic **increases** in the proximity of the horizon and strongly depends on ω .

Thanks for your attention!






Bibliography i

-  N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, “Quantum Fields in Curved Space” (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
-  P. C. W. Davies and A. C. Ottewill “Detection of negative energy: 4-dimensional examples”. *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 104014 (2002). (arXiv:gr-qc/0203003)
-  Y. Décanini and A. Folacci, “Hadamard renormalization of the stress-energy tensor for a quantized scalar field in a general spacetime of arbitrary dimension”, *Phy. Rev. D.* **78**, 044025 (2008). (arXiv:gr-qc/0512118v2)
-  B. S. DeWitt, “Quantum gravity: the new synthesis”, in *General Relativity: an Einstein centenary survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).
-  S. W. Hawking, “Particle creation by black holes”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** 199 (1975).

Bibliography ii

-  L. Hodgkinson and J. Louko, “How often does the Unruh-DeWitt detector click beyond four dimensions?”, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 082301 (2012). (arXiv:1109.4377v3 [gr-qc])
-  L. Hodgkinson and J. Louko, “Static, stationary and inertial Unruh-DeWitt detectors on the BTZ black hole”. (arXiv:1206.2055v2 [gr-qc])
-  J. Louko and A. Satz, “Transition rate of the Unruh-DeWitt detector in curved spacetime”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 6321 (2006). (arXiv:gr-qc/0510127)
-  A. Satz, “Then again, how often does the Unruh-DeWitt detector click if we switch it carefully?”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** 1719 (2007). (arXiv:gr-qc/0611067)

Bibliography iii

-  A. Satz, “Transition rate of particle detectors in quantum field theory”, PhD Thesis, University of Nottingham (2008).
-  S. Schlicht, “Considerations on the Unruh effect: causality and regularization”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21**, 4647 (2004).
(arXiv:gr-qc/0306022)
-  S. Schlicht, “Betrachtungen zum Unruh-Effekt: Kausalität und Regularisierung”, PhD Thesis, University of Freiburg (2002).
-  W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black hole evaporation”, *Phys.Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).
-  R. M. Wald, “Quantum field theory in curved spacetime and black hole thermodynamics” (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1994).