

# Vacuum Spacetimes with a constant Weyl scalar

Alan Barnes

School of Engineering and Applied Science  
Aston University, Birmingham UK

Britgrav, Sheffield University  
4 April 2013

# The Problem

- Vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are considered

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

in which there is an eigen-bivector  $V^{ij}$  of the (self-dual) Weyl tensor with a **constant** eigenvalue  $\lambda$

$$C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} V^{kl} = \lambda V^{ij}$$

# The Problem

- Vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are considered

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

in which there is an eigen-bivector  $V^{ij}$  of the (self-dual) Weyl tensor with a **constant** eigenvalue  $\lambda$

$$C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} V^{kl} = \lambda V^{ij}$$

This eigenvalue (**Weyl scalar**) will be assumed **non-zero**. Otherwise, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we have:

# The Problem

- Vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are considered

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

in which there is an eigen-bivector  $V^{ij}$  of the (self-dual) Weyl tensor with a **constant** eigenvalue  $\lambda$

$$C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} V^{kl} = \lambda V^{ij}$$

This eigenvalue (**Weyl scalar**) will be assumed **non-zero**. Otherwise, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we have:

- EITHER a **completely general** Petrov type III or type N vacuum spacetime — **too hard!**

# The Problem

- Vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are considered

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

in which there is an eigen-bivector  $V^{ij}$  of the (self-dual) Weyl tensor with a **constant** eigenvalue  $\lambda$

$$C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} V^{kl} = \lambda V^{ij}$$

This eigenvalue (**Weyl scalar**) will be assumed **non-zero**. Otherwise, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we have:

- EITHER a **completely general** Petrov type III or type N vacuum spacetime — **too hard!**
- OR a special Petrov type I spacetime with zero Weyl scalar; but these do not exist (Brans, 1975)

# The Problem

- Vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are considered

$$R_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

in which there is an eigen-bivector  $V^{ij}$  of the (self-dual) Weyl tensor with a **constant** eigenvalue  $\lambda$

$$C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} V^{kl} = \lambda V^{ij}$$

This eigenvalue (**Weyl scalar**) will be assumed **non-zero**. Otherwise, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we have:

- EITHER a **completely general** Petrov type III or type N vacuum spacetime — **too hard!**
- OR a special Petrov type I spacetime with zero Weyl scalar; but these do not exist (Brans, 1975)
- So the Petrov types to be considered are **I, II & D** only

# Petrov Classification I

There are many approaches to the Petrov classification; all of which are essentially equivalent in 4-D:

- eigen-bivector/invariant subspace structure of the Weyl tensor (Petrov's approach)

# Petrov Classification I

There are many approaches to the Petrov classification; all of which are essentially equivalent in 4-D:

- eigen-bivector/invariant subspace structure of the Weyl tensor (Petrov's approach)
- ditto for the self-dual Weyl tensor  $C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} = C^{ij}_{kl} + iC^{*ij}_{kl}$



# Petrov Classification I

There are many approaches to the Petrov classification; all of which are essentially equivalent in 4-D:

- eigen-bivector/invariant subspace structure of the Weyl tensor (Petrov's approach)
- ditto for the self-dual Weyl tensor  $C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} = C^j_{kl} + iC^{*ij}_{kl}$
- eigenvector/invariant subspace structure of  $Q_{ij} = E_{ij} + iB_{ij}$  where  $E_{ij}$  &  $B_{ij}$  are the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor wrt a unit timelike vector  $u^i$

$$E_{ij} = C_{ikjl}u^k u^l \quad H_{ij} = C^*_{ikjl}u^k u^l$$

# Petrov Classification I

There are many approaches to the Petrov classification; all of which are essentially equivalent in 4-D:

- eigen-bivector/invariant subspace structure of the Weyl tensor (Petrov's approach)
- ditto for the self-dual Weyl tensor  $C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} = C^j_{kl} + iC^{*ij}_{kl}$
- eigenvector/invariant subspace structure of  $Q_{ij} = E_{ij} + iB_{ij}$  where  $E_{ij}$  &  $B_{ij}$  are the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor wrt a unit timelike vector  $u^i$

$$E_{ij} = C_{ikjl}u^k u^l \quad H_{ij} = C^*_{ikjl}u^k u^l$$

- Number of distinct principal null directions  $\ell^i$  satisfying  $\ell_{[m}\ell^j C_{l]jk}[\ell^k \ell_n] = 0$

# Petrov Classification I

There are many approaches to the Petrov classification; all of which are essentially equivalent in 4-D:

- eigen-bivector/invariant subspace structure of the Weyl tensor (Petrov's approach)
- ditto for the self-dual Weyl tensor  $C^{\dagger ij}_{kl} = C^{ij}_{kl} + iC^{*ij}_{kl}$
- eigenvector/invariant subspace structure of  $Q_{ij} = E_{ij} + iB_{ij}$  where  $E_{ij}$  &  $B_{ij}$  are the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor wrt a unit timelike vector  $u^i$

$$E_{ij} = C_{ikjl}u^k u^l \quad H_{ij} = C_{ikjl}^* u^k u^l$$

- Number of distinct principal null directions  $\ell^i$  satisfying  $\ell_{[m} \ell^j C_{l]jk} \ell^k \ell_n] = 0$
- Number of distinct 1-spinors in the totally symmetric Weyl spinor  $\Psi_{ABCD} = \alpha_{(A} \beta_B \gamma_C \delta_{D)}$

# Petrov Classification II

- For Petrov types I and D there is an orthonormal eigen-tetrad  $(u^i, e_1^i, e_2^i, e_3^i)$  of  $Q_{ij}$  such that its frame components  $(A, B = 1 \dots 3)$  are

$$Q_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$$

where for type D:  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\lambda_3/2$ .

## Petrov Classification II

- For Petrov types I and D there is an orthonormal eigen-tetrad  $(u^i, e_1^i, e_2^i, e_3^i)$  of  $Q_{ij}$  such that its frame components  $(A, B = 1 \dots 3)$  are

$$Q_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$$

where for type D:  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\lambda_3/2$ .

- For Petrov types II the corresponding frame components are

$$Q_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_3/2 & -i & 0 \\ -i & 1 - \lambda_3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Petrov Classification III

Using the associated null tetrad:

$$\ell^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i + e_3^i), \quad n^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i - e_3^i), \quad m^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_1^i + ie_2^i)$$

the Weyl tensor components take the form:

- For Petrov Type I:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/2$$

## Petrov Classification III

Using the associated null tetrad:

$$\ell^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i + e_3^i), \quad n^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i - e_3^i), \quad m^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_1^i + ie_2^i)$$

the Weyl tensor components take the form:

- For Petrov Type I:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/2$$

- For Petrov Type II:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = 0 \quad \Psi_4 \neq 0$$

# Petrov Classification III

Using the associated null tetrad:

$$l^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i + e_3^i), \quad n^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i - e_3^i), \quad m^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_1^i + ie_2^i)$$

the Weyl tensor components take the form:

- For Petrov Type I:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/2$$

- For Petrov Type II:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = 0 \quad \Psi_4 \neq 0$$

- For Petrov Type D:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = 0$$



## Petrov Classification III

Using the associated null tetrad:

$$l^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i + e_3^i), \quad n^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(u^i - e_3^i), \quad m^i = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_1^i + ie_2^i)$$

the Weyl tensor components take the form:

- For Petrov Type I:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/2$$

- For Petrov Type II:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = 0 \quad \Psi_4 \neq 0$$

- For Petrov Type D:

$$\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0, \quad \Psi_2 = -\lambda_3/2, \quad \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = 0$$

- We will assume that  $\lambda_3$  (and so  $\Psi_2$ ) is constant.

## Algebraically Special Cases (Type II & D)

In the Newman-Penrose spin coefficient formalism the relevant Bianchi identities are (since  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa = \sigma = 0$  by the Goldberg-Sachs theorem):

$$\begin{aligned}D(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\rho\Psi_2 \\ \delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\tau\Psi_2 \\ \bar{\delta}(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\pi\Psi_2 \\ \Delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\mu\Psi_2\end{aligned}$$

## Algebraically Special Cases (Type II & D)

In the Newman-Penrose spin coefficient formalism the relevant Bianchi identities are (since  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa = \sigma = 0$  by the Goldberg-Sachs theorem):

$$\begin{aligned}D(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\rho\Psi_2 \\ \delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\tau\Psi_2 \\ \bar{\delta}(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\pi\Psi_2 \\ \Delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\mu\Psi_2\end{aligned}$$

Thus, as  $\Psi_2 (\neq 0)$  and  $R (= 4\Lambda)$  are constant:

$$\kappa = \sigma = \rho = \tau = 0 \quad (\text{and} \quad \pi = \mu = 0)$$

## Algebraically Special Cases (Type II & D)

In the Newman-Penrose spin coefficient formalism the relevant Bianchi identities are (since  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0$  and  $\kappa = \sigma = 0$  by the Goldberg-Sachs theorem):

$$\begin{aligned}D(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\rho\Psi_2 \\ \delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= 3\tau\Psi_2 \\ \bar{\delta}(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\pi\Psi_2 \\ \Delta(\Psi_2 + R/12) &= -3\mu\Psi_2\end{aligned}$$

Thus, as  $\Psi_2 (\neq 0)$  and  $R (= 4\Lambda)$  are constant:

$$\kappa = \sigma = \rho = \tau = 0 \quad (\text{and} \quad \pi = \mu = 0)$$

In addition, from the NP equation for  $\Delta\rho - \bar{\delta}\tau$  or  $D\mu - \delta\pi$ , it follows that  $\Psi_2 = -\Lambda/3$ .

## Algebraically Special Cases 2

- Thus the spacetime is a Kundt (1961) spacetime and the metric can be written in the form:

$$ds^2 = 2P^{-2}dzd\bar{z} - 2du(dv + Wdz + \bar{W}d\bar{z} + Hdu)$$

where  $P = P(z, \bar{z}, u)$  and  $H = H(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  are real and  $W = W(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  is complex.

## Algebraically Special Cases 2

- Thus the spacetime is a Kundt (1961) spacetime and the metric can be written in the form:

$$ds^2 = 2P^{-2}dzd\bar{z} - 2du(dv + Wdz + \bar{W}d\bar{z} + Hdu)$$

where  $P = P(z, \bar{z}, u)$  and  $H = H(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  are real and  $W = W(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  is complex.

- In addition  $W_{,v} = 0$  as a consequence of  $\tau = 0$ . So  $W = W(z, \bar{z}, u)$ .

## Algebraically Special Cases 2

- Thus the spacetime is a Kundt (1961) spacetime and the metric can be written in the form:

$$ds^2 = 2P^{-2}dzd\bar{z} - 2du(dv + Wdz + \bar{W}d\bar{z} + Hdu)$$

where  $P = P(z, \bar{z}, u)$  and  $H = H(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  are real and  $W = W(z, \bar{z}, u, v)$  is complex.

- In addition  $W_{,v} = 0$  as a consequence of  $\tau = 0$ . So  $W = W(z, \bar{z}, u)$ .
- These spacetimes were studied by Lewandowski (1992). Using the field equations and the coordinate freedom preserving the form of the metric, he showed

$$P = 1 + \Lambda z\bar{z}/2 \quad H = -\Lambda v^2/2 + H_0(z, \bar{z}, u), \quad W = iL_{,z}$$

where  $L$  is a **real** potential satisfying  $P^2 L_{,z\bar{z}} = -\Lambda L$ .

## Algebraically Special Cases 3

- The general solution for the potential  $L$  is

$$L = \Re(\Lambda P^{-1} \bar{z} f(z, u) - f_{,z}(z, u))$$

where  $f(z, u)$  is an arbitrary function analytic in  $z$ .



## Algebraically Special Cases 3

- The general solution for the potential  $L$  is

$$L = \Re(\Lambda P^{-1} \bar{z} f(z, u) - f_{,z}(z, u))$$

where  $f(z, u)$  is an arbitrary function analytic in  $z$ .

- The remaining field equation implies

$$H_{0,z\bar{z}} = \Lambda L_{,z} L_{,\bar{z}} - \Lambda^2 P^{-2} L^2$$

Given  $L$ , this can be integrated to give  $H_0$  up to addition of an arbitrary harmonic function  $\Re h_0(z, u)$

## Algebraically Special Cases 3

- The general solution for the potential  $L$  is

$$L = \Re(\Lambda P^{-1} \bar{z} f(z, u) - f_{,z}(z, u))$$

where  $f(z, u)$  is an arbitrary function analytic in  $z$ .

- The remaining field equation implies

$$H_{0,z\bar{z}} = \Lambda L_{,z} L_{,\bar{z}} - \Lambda^2 P^{-2} L^2$$

Given  $L$ , this can be integrated to give  $H_0$  up to addition of an arbitrary harmonic function  $\Re h_0(z, u)$

- For type D the condition  $\Psi_4 = 0$  implies  $W = g(\bar{z}, u) P^{-2}$  and  $H_0 = h(u)$ . The remaining coordinate freedom preserving the form of the metric can be used to set  $W = h(u) = 0$ .

## Algebraically Special Cases 3

- The general solution for the potential  $L$  is

$$L = \Re(\Lambda P^{-1} \bar{z} f(z, u) - f_{,z}(z, u))$$

where  $f(z, u)$  is an arbitrary function analytic in  $z$ .

- The remaining field equation implies

$$H_{0,z\bar{z}} = \Lambda L_{,z} L_{,\bar{z}} - \Lambda^2 P^{-2} L^2$$

Given  $L$ , this can be integrated to give  $H_0$  up to addition of an arbitrary harmonic function  $\Re h_0(z, u)$

- For type D the condition  $\Psi_4 = 0$  implies  $W = g(\bar{z}, u) P^{-2}$  and  $H_0 = h(u)$ . The remaining coordinate freedom preserving the form of the metric can be used to set  $W = h(u) = 0$ . **The general type D metric is decomposable into 2 2-spaces of constant curvature:**

$$ds^2 = 2(1 + \Lambda z \bar{z} / 2)^{-2} dz d\bar{z} - 2 du dv - \Lambda v^2 du^2$$

# Algebraically General Case (Petrov type I)

- For simplicity in this talk I consider only the case where all three Weyl scalars are constant. Thus  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_0 (= \Psi_4)$  are both constants.

# Algebraically General Case (Petrov type I)

- For simplicity in this talk I consider only the case where all three Weyl scalars are constant. Thus  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_0 (= \Psi_4)$  are both constants.
- The Bianchi identities reduce in this case to purely algebraic equations:

$$(\pi - 4\alpha)\Psi_0 = 3\kappa\Psi_2$$

$$(\rho - 4\epsilon)\Psi_0 = 3\lambda\Psi_2$$

$$\lambda\Psi_0 = 3\rho\Psi_2$$

$$\kappa\Psi_0 = 3\pi\Psi_2$$

$$(\mu - 4\gamma)\Psi_0 = 3\sigma\Psi_2$$

$$(\tau - 4\beta)\Psi_0 = 3\nu\Psi_2$$

$$\sigma\Psi_0 = 3\mu\Psi_2$$

$$\nu\Psi_0 = 3\tau\Psi_2$$

# Algebraically General Case (Petrov type I)

- For simplicity in this talk I consider only the case where all three Weyl scalars are constant. Thus  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_0 (= \Psi_4)$  are both constants.
- The Bianchi identities reduce in this case to purely algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi - 4\alpha)\Psi_0 &= 3\kappa\Psi_2 & (\mu - 4\gamma)\Psi_0 &= 3\sigma\Psi_2 \\(\rho - 4\epsilon)\Psi_0 &= 3\lambda\Psi_2 & (\tau - 4\beta)\Psi_0 &= 3\nu\Psi_2 \\ \lambda\Psi_0 &= 3\rho\Psi_2 & \sigma\Psi_0 &= 3\mu\Psi_2 \\ \kappa\Psi_0 &= 3\pi\Psi_2 & \nu\Psi_0 &= 3\tau\Psi_2\end{aligned}$$

Thus, writing  $\psi = \Psi_0/(3\Psi_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \psi\lambda & \tau &= \psi\nu & \mu &= \psi\sigma & \pi &= \psi\kappa, & \alpha &= (\psi + \psi^{-1})\kappa/4, \\ \beta &= (\psi + \psi^{-1})\nu/4, & \epsilon &= (\psi + \psi^{-1})\lambda/4, & \gamma &= (\psi + \psi^{-1})\sigma/4.\end{aligned}$$

## Algebraically General Case 2

- Substituting these equations in the NP equations and using the commutation relations (after a very long and heavy calculation!) expressions for all the derivatives of  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are obtained.

## Algebraically General Case 2

- Substituting these equations in the NP equations and using the commutation relations (after a very long and heavy calculation!) expressions for all the derivatives of  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are obtained.  
Maple and Norbert Van den Bergh's package for the NP formalism was used extensively.



## Algebraically General Case 2

- Substituting these equations in the NP equations and using the commutation relations (after a very long and heavy calculation!) expressions for all the derivatives of  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are obtained.  
Maple and Norbert Van den Bergh's package for the NP formalism was used extensively.
- Applying the commutation relations again eight complex 3rd order homogeneous algebraic relations are found for  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$ .

## Algebraically General Case 2

- Substituting these equations in the NP equations and using the commutation relations (after a very long and heavy calculation!) expressions for all the derivatives of  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are obtained.  
Maple and Norbert Van den Bergh's package for the NP formalism was used extensively.
- Applying the commutation relations again eight complex 3rd order homogeneous algebraic relations are found for  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$ .
- These are only consistent if  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are all constants. Also  $\Lambda = 0$  (pure vacuum only) and  $\psi = 1/\sqrt{3}$ .

## Algebraically General Case 2

- Substituting these equations in the NP equations and using the commutation relations (after a very long and heavy calculation!) expressions for all the derivatives of  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are obtained.  
Maple and Norbert Van den Bergh's package for the NP formalism was used extensively.
- Applying the commutation relations again eight complex 3rd order homogeneous algebraic relations are found for  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$ .
- These are only consistent if  $\kappa, \sigma, \nu$  &  $\lambda$  are all constants. Also  $\Lambda = 0$  (pure vacuum only) and  $\psi = 1/\sqrt{3}$ .
- The last equation means that the 3 Weyl scalars are a constant real multiple of the three cube roots of  $-1$ .

## Algebraically General Case 3

- In fact  $\sigma = \lambda = 0$ ,  $\bar{\nu} = \kappa = r(1 \pm i)$  and  $\Psi_2 = 8r^2/3$  where  $r$  is an arbitrary real constant.

## Algebraically General Case 3

- In fact  $\sigma = \lambda = 0$ ,  $\bar{\nu} = \kappa = r(1 \pm i)$  and  $\Psi_2 = 8r^2/3$  where  $r$  is an arbitrary real constant.
- The spacetime is homogeneous with metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{3}{32r^2} \left( dx^2 + e^{-2x} dy^2 + e^x \cos(\sqrt{3}x)(dz^2 - dt^2) - 2e^x \sin(\sqrt{3}x) dz dt \right)$$

## Algebraically General Case 3

- In fact  $\sigma = \lambda = 0$ ,  $\bar{\nu} = \kappa = r(1 \pm i)$  and  $\Psi_2 = 8r^2/3$  where  $r$  is an arbitrary real constant.
- The spacetime is homogeneous with metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{3}{32r^2} \left( dx^2 + e^{-2x} dy^2 + e^x \cos(\sqrt{3}x)(dz^2 - dt^2) - 2e^x \sin(\sqrt{3}x) dz dt \right)$$

- This metric is originally due to Petrov (1962) who derived it using group theoretic methods.
- Note also that the type D metric derived earlier is also homogeneous although the group of isometries is multiply transitive.

## Algebraically General Case 3

- In fact  $\sigma = \lambda = 0$ ,  $\bar{\nu} = \kappa = r(1 \pm i)$  and  $\Psi_2 = 8r^2/3$  where  $r$  is an arbitrary real constant.
- The spacetime is homogeneous with metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{3}{32r^2} \left( dx^2 + e^{-2x} dy^2 + e^x \cos(\sqrt{3}x)(dz^2 - dt^2) - 2e^x \sin(\sqrt{3}x) dz dt \right)$$

- This metric is originally due to Petrov (1962) who derived it using group theoretic methods.
- Note also that the type D metric derived earlier is also homogeneous although the group of isometries is multiply transitive.
- We have *a fortiori* proved that there are no type I homogeneous vacuum spacetimes with  $\Lambda \neq 0$ .

- All vacuum spacetimes (with  $\Lambda$ ) which have non-zero constant Weyl scalars have been obtained in closed form; they are either homogeneous or Petrov type II.



# Summary

- All vacuum spacetimes (with  $\Lambda$ ) which have non-zero constant Weyl scalars have been obtained in closed form; they are either homogeneous or Petrov type II.
- The only Petrov type I solution has  $\Lambda = 0$  whereas the algebraically special solutions have  $\Psi_2 = -\Lambda/3$ .

# Summary

- All vacuum spacetimes (with  $\Lambda$ ) which have non-zero constant Weyl scalars have been obtained in closed form; they are either homogeneous or Petrov type II.
- The only Petrov type I solution has  $\Lambda = 0$  whereas the algebraically special solutions have  $\Psi_2 = -\Lambda/3$ .
- Although the initial assumptions are rather weak rather disappointingly all solutions have previously appeared in the literature.

- All vacuum spacetimes (with  $\Lambda$ ) which have non-zero constant Weyl scalars have been obtained in closed form; they are either homogeneous or Petrov type II.
- The only Petrov type I solution has  $\Lambda = 0$  whereas the algebraically special solutions have  $\Psi_2 = -\Lambda/3$ .
- Although the initial assumptions are rather weak rather disappointingly all solutions have previously appeared in the literature.
- Coley et al. (2006) proved an analogous result: any spacetime for which all invariants constructed from the curvature tensor **and its derivatives of all orders** are constant is either homogeneous or Type II.

- All vacuum spacetimes (with  $\Lambda$ ) which have non-zero constant Weyl scalars have been obtained in closed form; they are either homogeneous or Petrov type II.
- The only Petrov type I solution has  $\Lambda = 0$  whereas the algebraically special solutions have  $\Psi_2 = -\Lambda/3$ .
- Although the initial assumptions are rather weak rather disappointingly all solutions have previously appeared in the literature.
- Coley et al. (2006) proved an analogous result: any spacetime for which all invariants constructed from the curvature tensor **and its derivatives of all orders** are constant is either homogeneous or Type II. **In this work we assume the vacuum condition (with  $\Lambda$ ) and the constancy of only the algebraic invariants of the curvature tensor.**